Stephen M. Doniger (SBN 179314) 1 stephen@donigerlawfirm.com Scott A. Burroughs (SBN 235718) scott@donigerlawfirm.com 3 Annie Aboulian (SBN 280693) annie@donigerlawfirm.com 4 **DONIGER / BURROUGHS APC** 5 300 Corporate Pointe, Suite 355 Culver City, California 90230 6 Telephone: (310) 590-1820 7 Facsimile: (310) 417-3538 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 SUSAN NICHOLSON HOFHEINZ 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 SUSAN NICHOLSON HOFHEINZ, an Individual, 14 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR: 15 Plaintiff, COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; 16 2. VICARIOUS AND/OR CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT 17 INFRINGEMENT; A.V.E.L.A., INC., a Nevada Corporation; 18 3. VIOLATIONS OF LANHAM ARTNOSTALGIA.COM, INC., a 19 ACT Delaware Corporation; X ONE X MOVIE (15 U.S.C. 1125) ARCHIVE, INC., a Delaware 20 Corporation, LEO VALENCIA, an 4. STATE TRADE DRESS 21 INFRINGEMENT Individual; FUNKO, LLC, a Washington Corporation; TOYS "R" US, INC., a 5. STATE TRADEMARK 22 INFRINGEMENT Delaware Corporation individually and 23 doing business as "TOYS "R" US"; 6. STATE UNFAIR GEOFFREY, LLC, a Delaware 24 COMPETITION Corporation individually and doing 25 Jury Trial Demanded business as "TOYS "R" US"; ENTERTAINMENT EARTH, INC., a 26

California Corporation; SMERALDI

27

ENTERPRISES, a Business Entity of Form Unknown, individually and doing business as "WWW.MYMOVIEMONSTERS.COM"; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Washington Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Plaintiff SUSAN NICHOLSON HOFHEINZ (hereinafter "SUSAN"), by and through her undersigned attorneys, hereby prays to this honorable Court for relief based on the following:

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff SUSAN is, amongst other things, the exclusive owner of the rights in and to a group of creepy horror films from the 1950s. One of these films is INVASION OF THE SAUCER-MEN (hereinafter "Film"), a cult classic that revolves around a fatal (or is it?) accident in which an alien falls prey to the fender of an automobile piloted by a pair of amorous teenagers. A beer-drinking bull, an eccentric farmer, and a detached, yet animated, limb all contribute to the unique appeal of this film; but, one of the – if not the – most important elements of the film are the titular Saucer-Men themselves. Indeed, filmgoers young and old have thrilled to and been kept up at night by the specter of the diabolical Saucer-Men and their extravagant weaponry, and the stylized and frightening appearance of the Saucer-Men is wildly unique, providing many hair-raising and spooktacular moments throughout the film.

Defendant A.V.E.L.A., Inc. (along with its affiliated entities) is a serial litigant, alleged to have ripped off numerous entertainment properties, that also markets and

sells novelty items and other merchandise. In the case at bar it has marketed, distributed, and sold merchandise that exploits without authorization content from and related to the Film, including without limitation novelty items that depict the Saucer-Men. This action is brought to remedy this out-of-this-world misconduct.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under the Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 U.S.C., § 101 et seq. and the Lanham Act.
- 2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338 (a) and (b), and ancillary jurisdiction, to the extent necessary, over the remaining claims.
- 3. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and 1400(a) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff SUSAN is an individual residing in Southern California.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant AVELA is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Nevada and is doing business in and with the State of California.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant ArtNostalgia.com, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Nevada and is doing business in and with the State of California.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant X One X Movie Archive, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Nevada and is doing business in and with the State of California.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes that ArtNostalgia.com, Inc., X One X Movie Archive, Inc. and A.V.E.L.A., Inc. share common ownership and/or interest, are alter egos, affiliates, and/or relations of one another, and conspired to engage in

the conduct discussed herein. They will be referred to collectively as "AVELA" in these papers.

- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Leo Valencia ("Valencia") is an individual doing business in and with the State of California.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant FUNKO, LLC ("FUNKO") is a Washington Corporation doing business in and with the State of California.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant TOYS "R" US, INC. is a Delaware Corporation doing business individually and as "TOYS "R" US," and that GEOFFREY, LLC, is a Delaware Corporation doing business individually and as "TOYS "R" US," in and with the State of California (collectively "TOYRS "R" US").
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant ENTERTAINMENT EARTH, INC. ("EEI") is a California Corporation doing business in and with the State of California.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant SMERALDI ENTERPRISES is a business entity of form unknown, and is doing business individually and as WWW.MYMOVIEMONSTERS.COM, (collectively "SMERALDI") in and with the State of California.
- 14.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant AMAZON.COM, INC. ("AMAZON") is a Washington Limited Liability Company doing business in and with the State of California.
- 15.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant DOES 1-10, inclusive, is a manufacturer, and/or a vendor (and/or agent or employee to a manufacturer or vendor) of product to Defendant, which DOE Defendants have manufactured and/or supplied and are manufacturing and/or supplying materials and

other product exploiting the Film without Plaintiff's knowledge or consent or have contributed to said infringement. The true names, whether corporate, individual or otherwise of Defendants DOES 1-10, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff, which therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names and will seek leave to amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when same have been ascertained.

- 16. Defendants DOES 6 through 10, inclusive, are other parties not yet identified who have infringed Plaintiff's rights, have contributed to the infringement of Plaintiff's rights, or have engaged in one or more of the wrongful practices alleged herein. The true names, whether corporate, individual or otherwise, of Defendants 1 through 10, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff, which therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names, and will seek leave to amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when same have been ascertained.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant hereto each of the Defendants was the agent, affiliate, officer, director, manager, principal, alter-ego, and/or employee of the remaining Defendants and was at all times acting within the scope of such agency, affiliation, alter-ego relationship and/or employment; and actively participated in or subsequently ratified and adopted, or both, each and all of the acts or conduct alleged, with full knowledge of all the facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, full knowledge of each and every violation of Plaintiff's rights and the damages to Plaintiff proximately caused thereby.

CLAIMS RELATED TO INVASION OF THE SAUCER-MEN

18. Plaintiff is the exclusive owner and copyright holder for the Film and certain if not all of its marketing materials.

///

///

- 19. The Film and its marketing materials were published prior to the acts alleged herein.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants, and each of them, took access to and received the Film and its marketing materials prior to the acts alleged therein.
- 21.Plaintiff's is informed and believes that Defendants, and each of them, were manufacturing or having manufactured, marketing, distributing, and selling merchandise that exploits the content and characters from the Film ("Accused Product").
- 22. Specifically, without limitation, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that AVELA provided a false license and/or authority to exploit the Film's material to FUNKO, and otherwise collaborated and conspired with FUNKO to create or have the Accused Product created, after which it was sold by AVELA and/or FUNKO to and through TOYS "R" US, EEI, SMERALDI, AMAZON and DOE Defendants.
- 23. The above-alleged conduct was not authorized by Plaintiff, and is in violation of Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive owner of the Film.
- 24. One exemplar of the Accused Product originating from Defendants, and each of them, is set forth below. On the left is an exemplar of the marketing material for the Film, depicting the evil and eponymous Saucer-Men (along with what appears to be a pair of flying vehicles and a damsel who is very much in distress). On the right is a "Wacky Wobbler" (viz., a bobble-head) that was marketed and sold by Defendants, and each of them:



25. The Films' marketing materials depict the Saucer-Men as they appear in the Film. A comparison of the above image makes clear that Defendants', and each of their, "Wacky Wobbler" product is substantially similar to the Saucer-Men from the Film, right down to the wicked-looking blade instrument clutched in the Saucer-Man's veiny claw. This violates Plaintiff's copyrights in the Film.

- 26. In addition, the Accused Product violates SUSAN's trademark and trade dress rights in its use of the name of the Film and certain material from the Film and/or its marketing on the Accused Product's packaging.
- 27. Defendants, nor any of them, ever obtained from SUSAN permission to exploit in any way the Film, its characters, or its marketing materials.
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Valencia was personally involved in the acts of infringement alleged herein, and/or is an alter ego or proxy for the companies alleged to have committed the injurious misconduct.
- 29.Plaintiff contacted AVELA to provide notice of the claims alleged herein, and request a cessation of the infringing conduct, but an adequate response was not received.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Copyright Infringement - Against All Defendants, and Each)

- 30. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, had access to the Film, which was first released in theaters in the 1950s.
- 32.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, misappropriated content from the Film to create, or have created, products that are substantially similar to certain of the material encompassed in the Film.
- 33.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, infringed Plaintiff's copyright by creating, making and/or developing directly infringing and/or derivative works from the Film and by producing, distributing and/or selling product that infringes the Film through a nationwide network of retail stores and on-line outlets.
- 34.Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, created unlawful derivative works that were based on the Film, and sold said works in commerce.
- 35. The above-stated acts by Defendants, and each of them, violated Plaintiff's exclusive right to create derivative works from Film and its exclusive right to distribute and sell product related to the Film's material.
- 36.Due to Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered general and special damages in an amount to be established at trial.
- 37. Due to Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would

not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of the Film. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of the Film in an amount to be established at trial.

38. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the infringement of the content from the Film by Defendants, and each of them, was willful, with knowledge, reckless, and/or in blatant disregard for SUSAN's rights as a copyright holder for the Film, and as such, Defendants, and each, are liable for willful, exemplary and enhanced statutory damages of up to and including one hundred and fifty thousand dollars and/or a preclusion from deducting certain costs relevant to disgorgeable profits.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Vicarious and/or Contributory Copyright Infringement – Against All Defendants, and Each)

- 39.Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 40.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants knowingly induced, participated in, aided and abetted in and profited from the illegal reproduction and/or subsequent sales of the Accused Product as alleged hereinabove.
- 41.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, are vicariously liable for the infringement alleged herein because they had the right and ability to supervise the infringing conduct and because they had a direct financial interest in the infringing conduct.
- 42.By reason of the Defendants', and each of their, acts of contributory and vicarious infringement as alleged above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damages to its business in an amount to be established at trial, as

well as additional general and special damages in an amount to be established at trial.

- 43. Due to Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of the Film. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of the Film, in an amount to be established at trial.
- 44. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the infringement of the content from the Film by Defendants, and each of them, was willful, with knowledge, reckless, and/or in blatant disregard for SUSAN's rights as a copyright holder for the Film, and as such, Defendants, and each, are liable for willful, exemplary and enhanced statutory damages of up to and including one hundred and fifty thousand dollars and/or a preclusion from deducting certain costs relevant to disgorgeable profits.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violations of Lanham Act (15 USC § 1125) – Against all Defendants, and Each)

- 45. Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates herein by reference as thoughfully set forth the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 46. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, are passing off the Accused Product under the name INVASION OF THE SAUCER-MEN, and in packaging similar to and evoking that used in the Film and its marketing materials, in interstate commerce.
- 47. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the passing off by Defendants, and each of them, of Accused Product under the name INVASION OF THE SAUCER-MEN causes consumer confusion as to the source of the Accused

Product and falsely implies a sponsorship or association between the Film and the Accused Product and/or the Defendants, and each of them.

- 48. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the passing off by Defendants, and each of them, of Accused Product under the name INVASION OF THE SAUCER-MEN misrepresent the nature, characteristics, and qualities of the Accused Product, as the Accused Product is not authorized product, and the packaging of the Accused Product is confusingly similar to the content in the Film and its marketing materials.
- 49. The above misstatements and deceptions by Defendants, and each of them, were material, and resulted in harm and damages to Plaintiff in an amount to be established at trial.
- 50.Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(d).
- 51. Plaintiff is entitled to recover its actual damages and Defendants' profits pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a); treble damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a) and (b); attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a); seizure of all infringing goods pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(d); and impoundment and destruction of all infringing goods pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement in Violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 14245 – Against All Defendants, and Each)

- 52. Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, inclusive, of this Complaint.
- 53. Defendants' unauthorized use of material from the Film and its marketing materials in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution or advertising of its products is likely to cause confusion or mistake or to deceive as to the source or

- 11 -

origin of its products in violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 14245.

- 54. On information and belief, Defendants', and each of their, infringement has been with knowledge of Plaintiff's rights.
- 55. Plaintiff has been, is now, and will be irreparably injured and damaged by Defendants' aforementioned acts, and unless enjoined by the Court, Plaintiff will suffer further harm to its name, reputation and goodwill.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Trademark Infringement under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 and California Common Law against all Defendants)

- 56. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 57.Defendants', and each of their, use of the Film's name, without Plaintiffs' consent, constitutes trademark infringement and unfair competition in violation of California common law, in that, among other things, such use is likely to cause confusion, deception and mistake among the consuming public and trade as to the source, approval or sponsorship of the products offered by Defendants.
- 58. The acts of Defendants complained of herein constitute trademark infringement and unfair competition in violation of the statutory law of the State of California, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq., in that, among other things, such use is likely to cause confusion, deception and mistake among the consuming public and trade as to the source, approval or sponsorship of the products offered by Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that unless said conduct is enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue and expand those activities to the continued and irreparable injury of Plaintiff. This injury includes a reduction in the distinctiveness of Plaintiff's trademark and trade dress, and injury to Plaintiff's reputation that

cannot be remedied through damages, and Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiff is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions restraining and enjoining Defendants and its agents, servants, employees, and all persons acting thereunder, in concert with, or on their behalf, from using in commerce material from the Film or its marketing materials.

59.As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful and intentional actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount to be determined at trial and, unless Defendants are restrained, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable damage.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(California Unfair Competition under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 and California Common Law against All Defendants)

- 60. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 61. By the actions alleged above, Defendants have engaged in false designation of origin and unfair competition in violation of the statutory law of the State of California, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq., by entering products into the stream of commerce, which deceived and confused members of the public as to the origin of their goods. Plaintiff is informed and believes that unless said conduct is enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue and expand those activities to the continued and irreparable injury of Plaintiff. This injury includes a reduction in the distinctiveness of the Film and injury to Plaintiff's reputation that cannot be remedied through damages, and Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiff is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions restraining and enjoining Defendants and its agents, servants, employees, and all persons acting thereunder, in concert with, or on their behalf, from using in commerce content from the Film.

62.As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful and intentional actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount to be determined at trial and, unless Defendants are restrained, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable damage.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

Against All Defendants

- 1. With Respect to Each Claim for Relief
 - a. That Plaintiff be awarded all profits of Defendant plus all losses of Plaintiff, the exact sum to be proven at the time of trial, or, if elected before final judgment, statutory damages as available under 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.;
 - b. A disgorgement of profits and other damages arising from such infringement, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, and award all damages called for therein;
 - c. An award of actual damages sustained by Plaintiff;
 - d. That Plaintiff be awarded its attorneys' fees as available under 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., 17 U.S.C. § 1202, and 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
 - e. An injunction against continued infringement of Plaintiff's trade dress and trademark, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, and the unfair competition provisions;
 - f. An injunction against continued infringement of Plaintiff's trade dress and trademark, pursuant to Cal Bus & Prof Code § 14245;
 - g. An order directing Defendants to file with the court and serve on Plaintiff a report setting forth an accounting and the manner and form in which Defendants have complied with the injunction, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116;

- h. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest as allowed by law;
- i. That Plaintiff be awarded the costs of this action;
- j. That Plaintiff be awarded treble damages and/or punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter and punish Defendant, on account of Defendant's willful violation of Federal, California, and common law; and declaring this case to be an exceptional case within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- k. That Plaintiff be awarded such further legal and equitable relief as the Court deems proper;
- 1. That an imposition of a constructive trust be entered over all products and materials bearing, in whole or in part, any material from the Film or its marketing materials, to the extent said products and materials were created, purchased, sold, advertised, or distributed in violation of Plaintiff's rights therein, as well as all registrations relating to Plaintiff's works filed by Defendants, any of them, and any third parties, and all profits, monies, royalties, and any other benefits derived or obtained by Defendants, or any of them, from the wrongful ownership, use, purchase, sale, distribution, licensing, or exploitation of Plaintiff's works of art.

A <u>TRIAL BY JURY</u> PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT SEVEN IS HEREBY DEMANDED.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 30, 2012 By:

Scott A. Burroughs, Esq.
DONIGER / BURROUGHS
Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUSAN NICHOLSON HOFHEINZ

Stephen M. Doniger, Esq. (SBN 179314) Email: stephen@donigerlawfirm.com DONIGER / BURROUGHS, APC 300 Corporate Pointe, Suite 355 Culver City, California 90230 Telephone: (310) 590-1820	
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
SUSAN NICHOLSON HOFHEINZ, an Individual,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) v. A.V.E.L.A., INC., a Nevada Corporation; et al.,	CV12-06546 STOLPZX
[SEE ATTACHED "SCHEDULE A"]	*
DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached ☑ counterclaim ☐ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1: or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, SC DONIGER / BURROUGHS APC, 300 Corporate Points judgment by default will be entered against you for the r your answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer COTT A. BURROUGHS, ESQ., whose address is e, Ste. 355, Culver City, CA 90230. If you fail to do so,
JUL 3 0 2012 Dated:	By: Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

"SCHEDULE A"

SUSAN NICHOLSON HOFHEINZ, an Individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

A.V.E.L.A., INC., a Nevada Corporation; ARTNOSTALGIA.COM, INC., a Delaware Corporation; X ONE X MOVIE ARCHIVE, INC., a Delaware Corporation, LEO VALENICA, an Individual; FUNKO, LLC, a Washington Corporation; TOYS "R" US, INC., a Delaware Corporation individually and doing business as "TOYS "R" US"; GEOFFREY, LLC, a Delaware Corporation individually and doing business as "TOYS "R" US"; ENTERTAINMENT EARTH, INC., a California Corporation; SMERALDI ENTERPRISES, a Business Entity of Form Unknown, individually and doing business as "WWW.MYMOVIEMONSTERS.COM"; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Washington Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

			CIVIL COVE	A SHEET						
1(a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) Susan Nicholson Hofheinz				DEFENDANT A.V.E.L.A,						
yourself, provide same.) Scott A. Burroughs, Esq. DONIGER / BURROUG			representing	Attorneys (If K	(nown)			2		1)
			Lui cimiarne	HID OF BRID	CIDAL DADT	TEC E	or Diversity Cases	: Only		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place an X in one box only	.)	(Place an X	in one box for	plaintiff and or	ne for de	efendant.)	Ciliy		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U. Government Not a Pa		Citizen of This S			DEF	Incorporated or P		PTF □ 4	DEF □4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendat	nt 4 Diversity (Indicate C of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Anoth	er State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and of Business in Ar	•	□ 5	□ 5
	**		Citizen or Subject	ct of a Foreign	Country 3	□3	Foreign Nation		□6	□ 6
Proceeding State C	ved from 3 Remanded from Court Appellate Cour	t Re	eopened				ecify): □6 Mult Distr Litig	rict Jud _e	eal to I ge from gistrate	ı
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL CLASS ACTION under F.R.C		Yes □			ded in complair ANDED IN CO		AINT: \$			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) Inter alia, 17 USC 501. Infringement of rights in filmed material.										
		naterial.								
	ce on X in one hay anly)									
	ce an X in one box only.)	acon promoto	TORTS	T.	OPTS	PER SONIE SE	PRISONER	1 AP	iOR	
OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Act 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Info. Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrumen 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulte Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	310 315 320 330 340 345 355 360 362 365 368 368 362 463 462 463	TORTS RSONAL INJURY Airplane Airplane Product Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Fed, Employers' Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus- Alien Detaince Other Immigration	PER PRO 1370 Ott 371 Tru 380 Ott 385 Pro 385 Pro 422 Ap 153 422 Ap 154 441 Vo 442 Ho 444 Wo 444 Ho 544 546 5	uth in Lending her Personal operty Damage operty Damage object Liability RUPLEY operal 28 USC 8 Hithdrawal 28 GC 157 LRIGHTS on the property Damage operal 28 USC 8 Hithdrawal 28 GC 157 LRIGHTS on the property of the proper	510	Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty Mandamus/ Other Civil Rights Prison Condition DEFEITURE / PENALTY Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health	□ 791 Empl. I Security PROPERTY ■ 820 Copyrity □ 830 Patent □ 840 Tradent SOCIAL S	Mgmt. ns Mgmt. ns Mgmt. ing & sure Act y Labor on Ret. Inc y Act Y RIGH ghts ark ECURI 395ff) Lung (9 DIWW i) FAX SI U.S. Pl Indant) ird Par	TY 23)
OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Act 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Info. Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	CONTRACT 110	310 315 320 330 340 345 355 360 362 365 368 368 362 463 462 463	RSONAL INJURY Airplane Airplane Product Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Fed. Employers' Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Moder Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee Other Immigratice	PER PRO 1370 Ott 371 Tru 380 Ott 385 Pro 385 Pro 422 Ap 153 422 Ap 154 441 Vo 442 Ho 444 Wo 444 Ho 544 546 5	her Frauduth in Lending her Personal operty Damage operty Damage oduct Liability RUPTEN operal 28 USC 8 Uthdrawal 28 USC 8 Uthdrawal 28 USC 157 RIGHTS on the person of th	510	Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty Mandamus/ Other Civil Rights Prison Condition ORFEITURE / PENALTY Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health	□ 710 Fair La Act □ 720 Labor/I Relatio □ 730 Labor/I Reporti □ Disclos □ 740 Railwa □ 790 Other I Litigati □ 791 Empl. I Securit ■ PROPERTI □ 820 Copyri □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trader □ SOGIAL S □ 861 HIA (1 □ 862 Black I □ 863 DIWC/ (405(g) □ 864 SSID T □ 865 RSI (40 □ FEDERAL□ □ 870 Taxes (or Defe □ 871 IRS-Th	Mgmt. ns Mgmt. ns Mgmt. ing & sure Act y Labor on Ret. Inc y Act Y RIGH ghts ark ECURI 395ff) Lung (9 DIWW i) FAX SI U.S. Pl Indant) ird Par	TY 23)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: _______.

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CY-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been pr	reviously filed in this court ar	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? No □ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pre	eviously filed in this court tha	at are related to the present case? Mo 🗆 Yes				
□ C .	Arise from the same Call for determination For other reasons we	e or closely related transactio ion of the same or substantial rould entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or reation of labor if heard by different judges; or 1, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing the							
(a) List the County in this District;(b) Check here if the government, it	California County of a agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State in pyees is a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Susan Nicholson Hofheinz - Riverside County							
(b) List the County in this District; Check here if the government, it	California County of agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State in	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
SMERALDI ENTERPRISES, W Riverside County; LEO VALEN		EMONSTERS.COM -	A.V.E.L.A., INC., ARTNOSTALGIA.COM, INC., X ONE X MOVIE ARCHIVE, INC Nevada; FUNKO, LLC, AMAZON.COM, INC Washington; TOYS "R" US, INC., GEOFFREY LLC - New Jersey				
(c) List the County in this District; (Note: In land condemnation ca	California County o	outside of this District; State i	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles County; Riverside (County						
4							
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernard Note: In land condemnation cases, use	dino, Riverside, Ve	entura, Santa Barbara, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties				
	3	/ '/ _	Date 7/30/12				
or other papers as required by law but is used by the Clerk of the Co	e CV-71 (JS-44) Ci 7. This form, approve Ourt for the purpose	ivil Cover Sheet and the inforved by the Judicial Conference of statistics, venue and initiat	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to Soc	cial Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CIVIL COVED SHEET

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge S. James Otero and the assigned	discovery
Magistrate Judge is Ralph Zarefsky.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 6546 SJO (RZx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012

CV-18 (03/06)

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.